

SOME PROPERTIES OF TYPE I' STRING THEORY

JOHN H. SCHWARZ

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

The T-dual formulation of Type I superstring theory, sometimes called Type I' theory, has a number of interesting features. Here we review some of them including the role of D0-branes and D8-branes in controlling possible gauge symmetry enhancement.

1 Introduction

I am pleased to contribute to this volume in memory of Yuri Golfand. His name will be remembered by future generations of physicists for his 1971 paper with Likhtman,¹ which introduced the four-dimensional super-Poincaré algebra for the first time. Recognizing that such a symmetry algebra is a consistent mathematical possibility was certainly a remarkable achievement. It is a curious coincidence that this paper appeared within a few days of Pierre Ramond's paper on fermionic strings.² Communications were not so good in those days, and the Golfand–Likhtman work was not generally known (at least in the West) for several years. As a result, its influence in driving the development of supersymmetry was not as great as it should have been. In fact, supersymmetric theories in two dimensions were developed to describe the world-sheet theory of RNS strings,³ and this motivated Wess and Zumino to seek four-dimensional analogs.⁴ Only years later did we understand that RNS strings, properly interpreted, have local 10-dimensional spacetime supersymmetry.⁵

The version of the theory that received the most attention prior to 1985 was the one containing both open and closed strings, which Mike Green and I called the type I theory, since it has one ten-dimensional supersymmetry. In 1984 we showed that this theory is inconsistent (due to gauge anomalies) unless the gauge group is chosen to be $SO(32)$.⁶ Then the anomalies cancel, and consistency is achieved. In this manuscript, I propose to review some of the interesting features that appear when one of the spatial dimensions is chosen to be a circle. In this case an alternative T dual description, known as type I', is available. This description gives a different viewpoint for understanding various phenomena, such as gauge symmetry enhancement. The material presented here is not new, though it may be organized somewhat differently than has been done before.

2 T Duality

Let $X^\mu(\sigma, \tau)$ denote the embedding functions of a closed string world-sheet in ten-dimensional spacetime. In the case of a trivial flat geometry, the world sheet field equations are simple two-dimensional wave equations. Suppose that one of the nine spatial dimensions, X^9 say, is circular with radius R . Denoting X^9 by X for simplicity, the general solution of the wave equation is

$$X = mR\sigma + \frac{n}{R}\tau + \text{periodic terms.} \quad (1)$$

The parameter σ labels points along the string and is chosen to have periodicity 2π . Thus m is an integer, called the winding number, which is the number of times the string wraps the spatial circle. The parameter τ is world-sheet time, and correspondingly $p = n/R$ is the momentum along the circle. Single-valuedness of e^{ipX} requires that n is an integer, called the Kaluza–Klein excitation number.

The general solution of the $2d$ wave equation consists of arbitrary left-moving and right-moving pieces

$$X(\sigma, \tau) = X_L(\sigma + \tau) + X_R(\sigma - \tau). \quad (2)$$

In the particular case described above we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_L &= \frac{1}{2} \left(mR + \frac{n}{R} \right) (\sigma + \tau) + \dots \\ X_R &= \frac{1}{2} \left(mR - \frac{n}{R} \right) (\sigma - \tau) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

T duality is the world-sheet field transformation $X_R \rightarrow -X_R, X_L \rightarrow X_L$ (or vice versa) together with corresponding transformations of world-sheet fermi fields. There are two issues to consider: the transformation of the world-sheet action and the transformation of the space-time geometry. The world-sheet action may or may not be invariant under T duality, depending on the theory, but the classical description of the spacetime geometry is always radically changed. Let us examine that first:

$$X = X_L + X_R \rightarrow X_L - X_R = \frac{n}{R}\sigma + mR\tau + \dots \quad (4)$$

Comparing with eq.(1), we see that this describes a closed string on a circle of radius $1/R$ with winding number n and Kaluza–Klein excitation number m . Thus we learn the rule that under T duality $R \rightarrow 1/R$ and $m \leftrightarrow n$.⁷ In the case of type I or type II superstrings, world-sheet supersymmetry requires that

$\psi_R^9 \rightarrow -\psi_R^9$ at the same time. This has the consequence for type II theories of interchanging the IIA theory (for which space-time spinors associated with left-movers and right-movers have opposite chirality) and the IIB theory (for which they have the same chirality). Thus T duality is not a symmetry in this case — rather it amounts to the equivalence of the IIA theory compactified on a circle with radius R and the IIB theory on a circle with radius $1/R$. If we compactified on a torus instead, and performed T duality transformations along two of the cycles, then this would take IIA to IIA or IIB to IIB and would therefore be a symmetry.

In recent years, D-branes have played a central role in our developing understanding of string theory.⁸ These are dynamical objects, which can be regarded as nonperturbative excitations of the theory. They have the property that open strings can end on them. When they have p spatial dimensions they are called Dp -branes. If a Dp -brane is a flat hypersurface, the coordinates can be chosen so that it fills the directions X^m , $m = 0, 1, \dots, p$ and has a specified position in the remaining “transverse” dimensions $X^i = d^i$ where $i = p+1, \dots, 9$. An open string ending on such a D-brane is required to satisfy Neumann boundary conditions in tangential directions

$$\partial_\sigma X^M|_{\sigma=0} = 0 \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, p, \quad (5)$$

and Dirichlet boundary conditions in the transverse directions

$$X^i = d^i \quad i = p+1, \dots, 9. \quad (6)$$

A remarkable fact, which is easy to verify, is that the T duality transformation $X_R \rightarrow -X_R$ interchanges Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions. This implies that an “unwrapped” Dp -brane, which is localized on the circle, is mapped by T duality into a $D(p+1)$ -brane that is wrapped on the dual circle. This rule meshes nicely with the fact that the IIA theory has stable (BPS) Dp -branes for even values of p and the IIB theory has stable Dp -branes for odd values of p . An obvious question that arises is how the wrapped D-brane encodes the position along the circle of the original unwrapped D-brane. The answer is that a type II D-brane has a $U(1)$ gauge field A in its world volume, and as a result a wrapped D-brane has an associated Wilson line $e^{i \oint A}$. This gives the dual description of position on the circle.

3 Type I Superstrings

Type IIB superstrings have a world-sheet parity symmetry, denoted Ω . This Z_2 symmetry amounts to interchanging the left- and right-moving modes on

the world sheet: $X_L^\mu \leftrightarrow X_R^\mu$, $\psi_L^\mu \leftrightarrow \psi_R^\mu$. This is a symmetry of IIB and not of IIA, because only in the IIB case do the left and right-moving fermions carry the same space-time chirality. When one gauges this Z_2 symmetry, the type I theory results.⁹ The projection operator $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \Omega)$ retains the left-right symmetric parts of physical states, which implies that the resulting type I closed strings are unoriented. In addition, it is necessary to add a twisted sector — the type I open strings. These are strings whose ends are associated to the fixed points of $\sigma \rightarrow 2\pi - \sigma$, which are at $\sigma = 0$ and $\sigma = \pi$. These strings must also respect the Ω symmetry, so they are also unoriented. The type I theory has half as much supersymmetry as type IIB (16 conserved supercharges instead of 32 — corresponding to a single Majorana–Weyl spinor). This supersymmetry corresponds to the diagonal sum of the L and R supersymmetries of the IIB theory.

This “orientifold” construction of the type I theory has the entire 10d spacetime as a fixed point set, since Ω does not act on x^μ . Correspondingly a spacetime-filling orientifold plane (an O9-plane) results. This orientifold plane turns out to carry -32 units of RR charge, which must be cancelled by adding 32 D9-planes. Rather than proving this, we can make it plausible by recalling that n type I D9-planes carry an $SO(n)$ gauge group. Moreover, we know that the total charge must be cancelled and that $SO(32)$ is the only orthogonal group allowed by anomaly cancellation requirements. Correspondingly, these are the unique choices allowed by tadpole cancellation. As a remark on notation, let me point out that instead of speaking of 32 D9-branes, we could equivalently speak of 16 D9-branes and their mirror images. This distinction is simply one of conventions. The important point is that when n type I D9-branes and their n mirror images coincide with an O9-plane, the resulting system has an unbroken $SO(2n)$ gauge symmetry.

4 The Type I' Theory

We now wish to examine the T-dual description of the type I theory on a spacetime of the form $R^9 \times S^1$, where the circle has radius R . We have seen that IIB is T dual to IIA and that type I is an orientifold projection of IIB. Therefore, one should not be surprised to learn that the result is a certain orientifold projection of type IIA compactified on the dual circle $\tilde{S}^1$ of radius $R' = 1/R$. The resulting T dual version of type I has been named type IA and Type I' by various authors. We shall adopt the latter usage here.

We saw that T duality for a type II theory compactified on a circle corresponds to the world-sheet symmetry $X_R \rightarrow -X_R$, $\psi_R \rightarrow -\psi_R$, for the component of X and ψ along the circle. This implies that $X = X_L + X_R \rightarrow X' =$

$X_L - X_R$. In the case of type II theories, we saw that X' describes a dual circle $\tilde{S}^1$ of radius $R' = 1/R$. In the type I theory we gauge world-sheet parity Ω , which corresponds to $X_L \leftrightarrow X_R$. Evidently, in the T dual formulation this corresponds to $X' \rightarrow -X'$. Therefore this gauging gives an orbifold projection of the dual circle: $\tilde{S}^1/Z_2$. More precisely the Z_2 action is an orientifold projection that combines $X' \rightarrow -X'$ with Ω . This makes sense because Ω above is not a symmetry of the IIA theory, since left-moving and right-moving fermions have opposite chirality. However, the simultaneous spatial reflection $X' \rightarrow -X'$ compensates for this mismatch.

The orbifold $\tilde{S}^1/Z_2$ describes half of a circle. In other words, it is the interval $0 \leq X' \leq \pi R'$. The other half of the circle should be regarded as also present, however, as a mirror image that is also Ω reflected. Altogether the statement of T duality is the equivalence of the compactified IIB orientifold $(R^9 \times S^1)/\Omega$ with the type IIA orientifold $(R^9 \times S^1)/\Omega \cdot \mathcal{I}_1$. The symbol $\mathcal{I}_1$ represents the reflection $X' \rightarrow -X'$.

The fixed-point set in the type I' construction consists of a pair of orientifold 8-planes located at $X' = 0$ and $X' = \pi R'$. Each of these carries -16 units of RR charge. Consistency of the type I' theory requires adding 32 D8-branes. Of these, 16 reside in the interval $0 \leq X' \leq \pi R'$ and 16 are their mirror images located in the interval $\pi R' \leq X' \leq 2\pi R'$. Clearly, these D8-branes are the T duals of the D9-branes of the type I description.

The positions of the D8-branes along the interval are determined in the type I description by Wilson lines in the Cartan subalgebra of $SO(32)$. Since this group has rank 16, its Cartan subalgebra has 16 generators. Let A^I denote the component of the corresponding 16 gauge fields along the circular direction. These correspond to compact $U(1)$'s, so their values are characterized by angles θ_I . These determine the dual positions of the D8-branes to be

$$X'_I = \theta_I R', \quad I = 1, 2, \dots, 16. \quad (7)$$

The $SO(32)$ symmetry group is broken by the Wilson lines to the subgroup that commutes with the Wilson line matrix. In terms of the type I' description this gives the following rules:

- When n D8-branes coincide in the interior of the interval, this corresponds to an unbroken $U(n)$ gauge group.
- When n D8-branes coincide with an O8-plane they give an unbroken $SO(2n)$ gauge group.

In both cases the gauge bosons arise as zero modes of $8-8$ open strings. In the second case the mirror-image D8-branes also contribute. As we will explain

later, this is not the whole story. Further symmetry enhancement can arise in other ways.

The case of trivial Wilson line (all $A^I = 0$) corresponds to having all 16 D8-branes (and their mirror images) coincide with one of the O8-planes. This gives $SO(32)$ gauge symmetry, of course. In addition there are two $U(1)$ factors. The corresponding gauge fields arise as components of the 10d metric and B field: $g_{\mu 9}$ and $B_{\mu 9}$. One combination of these belongs to the 9d supergravity multiplet, whereas the other combination belongs to a 9d vector supermultiplet.

Somewhat more generally, consider the Wilson line

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{16+2N} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{16-2N} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

This corresponds to having $8 + N$ D8-branes coincide with the O8-plane at $X' = 0$ and $8 - N$ D8-branes with the O8-plane at $X' = \pi R'$. Generically this gives rise to the gauge symmetry

$$SO(16 + 2N) \times SO(16 - 2N) \times U(1)^2. \quad (9)$$

However, from the S-dual heterotic description of the type I theory, one knows that for a particular value of the radius further symmetry enhancement is possible. Specifically, for heterotic radius $R_H^2 = N/8$ one finds the gauge symmetry enhancement

$$SO(16 - 2N) \times U(1) \rightarrow E_{9-N}. \quad (10)$$

This radius, converted to type I metric, corresponds to $R^2 = gN/8$. This symmetry enhancement will be explained from a type I' viewpoint later. There are other interesting extended symmetries such as $SU(18)$ and $SO(34)$, which might also be understood from a type I' viewpoint, but will not be considered here.

5 D0-Branes

The type I' theory is constructed as a type IIA orientifold. As such, its bulk physics — away from the orientifold planes — is essentially that of the type IIA theory. More precisely, there are number of distinct type IIA vacua distinguished by the difference in the number of D8-branes to the left and the right. When these numbers match, one has the ordinary IIA vacuum. When they don't one has a “massive” IIA vacuum of the kind first considered by Romans.¹⁰ In any case, the ordinary IIA vacuum admits various even-dimensional D-branes. Here I wish to focus on D0-branes. Later we will discuss what happens

to them when they cross a D8-brane and enter a region with a different IIA vacuum.

D0-branes of the type I' theory correspond to type I D-strings that wrap the compactification circle. The Wilson line on the D-strings controls the positions of the dual D0-branes. A collection of n coincident type 1 D-strings has an $O(n)$ world-volume gauge symmetry. Unlike the case of D9-branes the reflection element is included, so that the group really is $O(n)$ and not $SO(n)$. This means that in the case of a single D string it is $O(1) = Z_2$. Thus in this case there are two possible values for the Wilson line (± 1). The dual type I' description is a single D0-brane stuck to one of the orientifold planes, with the value of the Wilson line controlling which one it is.

A single D0-brane of type I' stuck to an orientifold-plane cannot move off the plane into the bulk. However, a pair of them can do so. To understand this, let us consider a pair of wrapped D strings of type I, coincident in the other dimensions, which carries an $O(2)$ gauge symmetry. Again, this is T dual to a pair of type I' D0-branes with positions controlled by the choice of $O(2)$ Wilson line. The inequivalent choices of Wilson line are classified by conjugacy classes of the $O(2)$ gauge group. So we should recall what they are. It is important that $O(2)$, unlike its $SO(2)$ subgroup, is non-Abelian. Correspondingly, there are conjugacy classes of two types:

- The $SO(2)$ subgroup has classes labeled by an angle θ . Including the effect of the reflection, inequivalent classes correspond to range $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$. Such a conjugacy class describes a D0-brane at $X' = \theta R'$ in the bulk, together with the mirror image at $X' = (2\pi - \theta)R'$. We see that to move into the bulk a second (mirror image) D0-brane had to be provided.
- The reflection elements of $O(2)$ all belong to the same conjugacy class. A representative is the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. This class corresponds to one stuck D0-brane on each O8-plane.

6 Brane Creation

The solutions of massive type IIA supergravity were investigated by Polchinski and Witten,¹¹ who showed that they involve a metric and dilaton that vary in one direction. In the context of the type I' theory this means they vary in all regions for which the number of D8-branes to the left and to the right are unequal. Thus the only case for which this effect does not occur is the $SO(16) \times SO(16)$ configuration with D8-branes attached to each of the O8-planes. (This case is closely related to the M theory description of the $E_8 \times E_8$ theory.¹²)

We can avoid describing the X' dependence of the metric explicitly by using proper distance s as a coordinate along the interval. (This requires holding the other coordinates fixed.) Then one has $0 \leq s \leq \pi R'$, $R' = 1/R$. We didn't address the issue earlier, but when we said the interval has length $\pi R'$ we really did mean its proper distance. In terms of this coordinate there is a varying dilaton field, and hence a varying string coupling constant $g_A(s)$. Only in regions with half of the D8-branes to the left and half to the right is it constant.

The function $g_A(s)$ was obtained by Polchinski and Witten by solving the field equations. A more instructive way of obtaining and understanding the result uses the brane creation process. Consider an isolated D0-brane in a region where $g_A(s)$ is constant. Now suppose the D0-brane crosses a D8-brane to enter a region where $g_A(s)$ is varying. What happens is that the D0-brane emerges on the other side with a fundamental string stretched between it and the D8-brane. This phenomenon, called Hanany–Witten effect,¹³ has been derived by a variety of means.¹⁴ It occurs in many different settings that are related by various duality transformations. (For example, two suitably oriented M5-branes can cross to give rise to a stretched M2-brane.¹⁵) The intuitive reason that string creation is required can be understood as follows. The original D0-brane configuration preserved half the supersymmetry and was BPS. Therefore a delicate balance of forces ensured that it was stable at rest. When it crosses the D8-brane (adiabatically) the amount of supersymmetry remains unchanged and so it should still be stable at rest. To be specific, let us consider the D8-brane configuration discussed earlier with $8 + N$ D8-branes on the $X' = 0$ O8-plane and $8 - N$ D8-branes on the $X' = \pi R'$ O8-plane. In this case N fundamental strings should connect the D0-brane to the $X' = 0$ O8-plane. The BPS condition implies that the mass of the D0-brane should be independent of its position in the interval. Recalling that the mass of a type IIA D0-brane is $1/g_A$, we therefore conclude that for this configuration

$$M_{D0} = \frac{1}{g_A(0)} = \frac{1}{g_A(s)} + NT_{F1}s. \quad (11)$$

Here $T_{F1} = \frac{1}{2\pi}$ is the tension of a fundamental type IIA string (in string units). We therefore see that $g_A(s)$ is the reciprocal of a linear function whenever $N \neq 0$. Thus, for $N \neq 0$ it necessarily develops a pole if R' is too large.

The mass M_{D0} can also be computed in the type I picture in terms of a pair of wrapped D strings with Wilson line. The mass is independent of the $O(2)$ Wilson line, since it is independent of the X' coordinate. However, it does depend on the $SO(32)$ Wilson line. Altogether the mass is a sum of two

contributions:

$$M_{D0} = M_{\text{winding}} + M_{\text{Wilson}}. \quad (12)$$

The winding term contribution is given by simple classical considerations:

$$M_{\text{winding}} = 2 \cdot 2\pi R \cdot T_{D1} = \frac{2R}{g}. \quad (13)$$

A more careful analysis is required to obtain the Wilson line contribution

$$M_{\text{Wilson}} = \frac{N}{4R}. \quad (14)$$

Note that this contribution vanishes for large R .

We now come to the main point. There is a special value of R' , the one for which the coupling diverges at the $X' = \pi R'$ orientifold plane. In this case

$$\frac{1}{g_A(\pi R')} = 0, \quad (15)$$

which implies, using eq. (11), that

$$M_{D0} = \frac{N}{2R} = \frac{2R}{g} + \frac{N}{4R}, \quad (16)$$

and hence that

$$R^2 = gN/8. \quad (17)$$

This is precisely the value that we previously asserted gives the symmetry enhancement $\text{SO}(16-2N) \times \text{U}(1) \rightarrow E_{9-N}$. The reason that there is symmetry enhancement is that there are additional massless vectors with appropriate quantum numbers. They arise as the ground states of open strings connecting the D8-branes to a stuck D0-brane.^{16,17} This works because the stuck D0-brane is massless in this case, as a consequence of eq. (15). This accounts for all the extra gauge bosons when $N > 2$. In the E_7 and E_8 cases, there are additional states attributable to a single bulk D0-brane near $X' = \pi R'$.

7 Conclusion

The study of supersymmetric theories has come a long way since Golfand's pioneering work. I presume that he would be pleased.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to O. Bergman for very helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by the U.S. Dept. of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG03-92-ER40701.

References

1. Yu. A. Golfand and E.P. Likhtman, *JETP Lett.* **13**, 323 (1971).
2. P. Ramond, *Phys. Rev. D* **3**, 2415 (1971).
3. J.-L. Gervais and B. Sakita, *Nucl. Phys. B* **34**, 632 (1971); Y. Aharonov, A. Casher, and L. Susskind, *Phys. Rev. D* **5**, 988 (1972).
4. B. Zumino, p. 367 in *Renormalization and Invariance in Quantum Field Theory*, ed. E. Caianello (Plenum Press, 1974); J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Nucl. Phys. B* **70**, 39 (1974).
5. F. Gliozzi, J. Scherk, and D. Olive, *Nucl. Phys. B* **122**, 253 (1977); M.B. Green and J.H. Schwarz, *Nucl. Phys. B* **181**, 502 (1981) and *Phys. Lett. B* **109**, 444 (1982).
6. M.B. Green and J.H. Schwarz, *Phys. Lett. B* **149**, 117 (1984).
7. For a review of T duality see A. Giveon, M. Porrati, and E. Rabinovici, *Phys. Rept.* **244**, 77 (1994), hep-th/9401139.
8. J. Polchinski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 4724 (1995), hep-th/9510017; p. 293 in *Fields, Strings, and Duality* (TASI 96), eds. C. Efthimiou and B. Greene, World Scientific 1997, hep-th/9611050.
9. A. Sagnotti, p. 521 in Proc. of the 1987 Cargèse Summer Institute, eds. G. Mack et al. (Permagon Press, 1988); N. Ishibashi and T. Onogi, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **4**, 161 (1989); G. Pradisi and A. Sagnotti, *Phys. Lett. B* **216**, 59 (1989); P. Hořava, *Nucl. Phys. B* **327**, 461 (1989).
10. L. Romans, *Phys. Lett. B* **169**, 374 (1986).
11. J. Polchinski and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys. B* **460**, 525 (1996), hep-th/9510169.
12. P. Hořava and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys. B* **460**, 506 (1996), hep-th/9510209.
13. A. Hanany and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys. B* **492**, 152 (1997), hep-th/9611230.
14. C.P. Bachas, M.R. Douglas, and M.B. Green, *JHEP* **9707**, 002 (1997), hep-th/9705074; U.H. Danielsson, G. Ferretti, and I.R. Klebanov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 1984 (1997), hep-th/9705084; O. Bergman, M.R. Gaberdiel, and G. Lifschytz, *Nucl. Phys. B* **509**, 194 (1998), hep-th/9705130.
15. S.P. de Alwis, *Phys. Lett. B* **413**, 49 (1997), hep-th/9706142.
16. O. Bergman, M.R. Gaberdiel, and G. Lifschytz, *Nucl. Phys. B* **524**, 524 (1998), hep-th/9711098.
17. C.P. Bachas, M.B. Green, and A. Schwimmer, *JHEP* **9801**, 006 (1998), hep-th/9712086.